

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Boa 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/690,688	10/21/2003	Theodore I. Kamins	200209306-1	6130
22879	7590 12/15/2005		EXAMINER	
	PACKARD COMPA	KUNEMUND, ROBERT M		
P O BOX 2	72400, 3404 E. HARMOÌ TUAL PROPERTY ADN	NY ROAD MINISTRATION	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
FORT COLLINS, CO 80527-2400			1722	

DATE MAILED: 12/15/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|--|

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/690,688	KAMINS ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Robert M. Kunemund	1722					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
	· action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		secution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	·						
·	,						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-37</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-37</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	priority under 35 H.S.C. & 110(a)	(d) or (f)					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:						

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1 to 3, 10, 13, 14, 31 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Li et al (6,831,017).

The Li et al reference teaches a method and product of nanowires, note entire reference. On a substrate, a pattern of a catalyst is created and placed. The catalyst can be a gold dot, note col. 5 lines 15-25. Then the source materials for the nanowires are flowed over the substrate and caused to decompose to grow the nanowire, note col. 5 lines 26-45. The source materials are gases and decomposed by standard means and processes such as MOCVD. The grown nanowires can be silicon, II-VI or III-V. After the nanowire growth, an insulation material different from the nanowire material is deposited around the nanowires, note col. 5 liens 45-55.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of

the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Page 3

Claims 4 to 7, 11, 12, 15 to 18, 20 to 30 and 33 to 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Li et al in view of Gudiksen et al (Nature article).

The Li et al reference is relied on for the same reasons as stated, supra, and differs from the instant claims in the nanowire having two materials. However, the Gudiksen et al reference teaches growing nanowires with two separate materials, note page 617. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the Li et al reference by the teachings of the Gudiksen et al reference to have two materials in the nanowire in order to create diverse applications for the nanowire structure.

Claims 4 to 7, 11, 12, 15 to 18, 20 to 30 and 33 to 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Li et al in view of Gudiksen et al (Nature article).

The Li et al and Gudiksen et al references are relied on for the same reasons as stated, supra, and differ from the instant claims mold for the catalyst. However, in the absence of unexpected results, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to determine through routine experimentation the optimum, operable means to

Art Unit: 1722

pattern and apply the catalyst in the Li et al reference in order to create and maintain the desired pattern, which is the pattern for the nanowires.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert M. Kunemund whose telephone number is 571-272-1464. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 hours.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Duane Smith can be reached on 571-272-1166. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

RMK

ROBERT KUNEMUND PRIMARY EXAMINER Page 4